

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/626,899	07/22/2003	Laure Thiebaut	LOREAL 3.0-037; OA02222/N	3769
530	7590 11/17/2005		EXAM	INER
LERNER, DAVID, LITTENBERG,			WALCZAK, DAVID J	
KRUMHOLZ 600 SOUTH A	& MENTLIK VENUE WEST		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WESTFIELD,			3751	

DATE MAILED: 11/17/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/626,899	THIEBAUT, LAURE			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	David J. Walczak	3751			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 No	<u>ovember 2005</u> .				
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-4 and 6-33 is/are pending in the approach 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-4 and 6-32 is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 33 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary				
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 33 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Weber in view of Jones. Weber discloses a packaging and application device for a product comprised of a receptacle having a longitudinal axis, a passageway 12 for the product and an operable end for applying the product, a porous or fibrous applicator element 6, (see column 2, lines 47-52) capable of communicating with the product in the receptacle through the passageway, a dispensing element 5,18 adapted for opening and closing the passageway 12 wherein the dispensing element is a movable part which is rotatable about the longitudinal axis and movable between a first position wherein the passageway is closed and a second position wherein the passageway is open and a closure cap 9 capable of attachment by a screw thread 8 onto the dispensing element so as to close and open the receptacle and wherein the cap constitutes an enclosed holder for the applicator 16 such that rotational movement of the cap about the axis causes the opening and closing of the passageway (see the paragraph bridging columns 4 and 5). Although the Weber reference does not disclose the type of product being dispensed, attention is directed to the Jones reference, which discloses another dispensing brush wherein nail varnish remover 14 is dispensed therefrom in order to

enable a user to conveniently dispense nail polish remover onto a nail. Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made that the Weber device can be used to dispense nail polish remover, should a user so choose to employ the device, especially since the Weber reference in no way limits the type of product than can be dispensed therefrom.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 11/9/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The Applicant contends that the Weber reference is not applicable against claim 33 in that this reference does not disclose a cap "constituting an enclosed holder" for the applicator element. However, the cap 9 of the Weber device, when screwed onto the device, clearly defines an "enclosed holder" for the applicator 16. The Applicant indicates that this limitation was defined in claims 9-11 and argues accordingly. Claim 9, however, defines a holder for an additional applicator wherein claim 33 does not. Accordingly, claim 33 stands rejected as discussed supra.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David J. Walczak whose telephone number is 571-272-4895. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs, 6:30- 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Justine Yu can be reached on 571-272-4835. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

David J. Walczak Primary Examiner Art Unit 3751

DJW 11/15/05